# Council, 24 January 2018 Public Document Pack



# MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HAVERING Council Chamber - Town Hall 24 January 2018 (7.30 - 10.40 pm)

Present: The Mayor (Councillor Linda Van den Hende) in the Chair

Councillors Councillors June Alexander, Clarence Barrett, Robert Benham, Ray Best, Wendy Brice-Thompson, Michael Deon Burton, Joshua Chapman. John Crowder. Philippa Crowder, Keith Darvill, lan de Wulverton, Osman Dervish, Nic Dodin, Alex Donald. Brian Eagling, David Durant. Gillian Ford. Jason Frost, Jody Ganly, John Glanville, Linda Hawthorn, David Johnson, Steven Kelly, Phil Martin, Barbara Matthews, Robby Misir, Ray Morgon, Barry Mugglestone, John Mylod, Stephanie Nunn, Denis O'Flynn, Ron Ower, Garry Pain, Dilip Patel, Viddy Persaud, Roger Ramsey, Keith Roberts, Patricia Rumble, Carol Smith, Frederick Thompson, Linda Trew, Jeffrey Tucker, Linda Van den Hende, Melvin Wallace, Lawrence Webb, Roger Westwood, Damian White, Michael White, Reg Whitney, Graham Williamson, Darren Wise and John Wood

25 Members' guests and members of the public and a representative of the press were also present.

Apologies were received for the absence of Councillors Meg Davis and Julie Wilkes.

The Mayor advised Members and the public of action to be taken in the event of emergency evacuation of the Town Hall becoming necessary.

Reverend Susannah Brasier, Rector of St Laurence Church, opened the meeting with prayers.

The meeting closed with the singing of the National Anthem.

#### 65 MINUTES (agenda item 3)

The minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 22 November 2017 were before the Council for approval.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 22 November 2017 be approved as a correct record.

# 66 **DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS (agenda item 4)**

There were no declarations of interest.

# 67 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR, BY THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL OR BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE (agenda item 5)

A minute's silence was held in memory of former Leader of the Council and Mayor, Councillor Eric Munday. Tributes to former Councillor Munday were paid by the Leaders of all Groups as well as by other Members from across the Chamber.

The Mayor thanked those people involved in the Havering float at the London New Year's Day Parade as well as all those who had taken part in and attended the recent Mayor's variety show. The Mayor also announced that her civic dinner was due to be held on 24 March 2018.

The text of the announcements made by the Leader of the Council is attached as appendix 1 to these minutes.

#### 68 **PETITIONS (agenda item 6)**

There were petitions presented at the meeting.

# 69 THE COUNCIL'S FINANCIAL STRATEGY (COUNCIL TAX SUPPORT SCHEME) (agenda item 7)

A report of Cabinet asked Council to approve details of the proposed Council Tax Support Scheme 2018. It was proposed to maintain the current Council Tax Support Scheme in 2017 for 2018 and make no amendment to the current local scheme. The report had been approved by Cabinet at its meeting on 17 January 2018.

The report was **AGREED** by 44 votes to 5 (see division 1) and it was **RESOLVED**:

That the Council Tax Support Scheme 2018 (appendices A and B of the report to Cabinet of 17 January 2018) be approved.

# 70 SELECTION AND APPOINTMENT OF A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER TO DELIVER THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HAVERING'S ESTATE REGENERATION PROGRAMME (agenda item 8)

A report of Cabinet gave details of the outcome of the review of the procurement process for the regeneration of 12 sites that had been previously agreed by Cabinet. The report also sought approval from Cabinet

on the award pf Preferred Bidder status, summarised the rationale for the venture and provided information on the consultation and procurement process. The report was agreed by Cabinet at its meeting on 17 January 2018.

A question on the report by the Residents' Group and a response by the Leader of the Council are shown as appendix 2 to these minutes.

The report was **AGREED** by 34 votes to 14 (see division 2) and it was **RESOLVED**:

To make an application to the Secretary of State, where required, for the disposal of property and land (the precise areas of land to be decided by the Lead Member for Housing after consultation with the Director of Neighbourhoods) under section 32(2) of the Housing Act 1985.

# 71 SUBMISSION PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE HAVERING LOCAL PLAN (agenda item 9)

A report of Cabinet outlined progress on the Havering Local Plan since its publication and sought Member approval for a number of material modifications to the Proposed Submission Havering Local Plan in preparation for submission of the plan to the Secretary of State. These covered areas such as explicit support for the use of brownfield land for residential development apart from land that had already been protected for other uses such as industrial and explicit support for the use of the River Thames as for freight and transport. Details of all proposed material modifications were given in the Cabinet report. The report had been agreed by Cabinet at its meeting on 17 January 2018.

A question on the report by the Residents' Group and a response by the Cabinet Member are shown as appendix 3 to these minutes.

The report was **AGREED** by 32 votes to 6 (see division 3) and it was **RESOLVED** to:

- (i) Agree that the material amendments as set out in section 3.1 of this report, be made to the proposed submission documents, and to the proposed Submission Havering Local Plan
- (ii) Delegate authority to the Director of Neighbourhoods, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing, to make and approve the final wording of the material amendments to the proposed Submission Havering Local Plan, and to the proposed submission documents for submission to the Secretary of State.

#### 72 **TREASURY MANAGEMENT MID-YEAR UPDATE (agenda item 10)**

A report of Audit Committee gave a mid-year update on Treasury Management. This was as required under the Treasury Management Code of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy. The report covered treasury management activity and the associated monitoring and control of risk.

The report was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

That the report be noted.

# 73 **EXCEPTIONS TO THE CALL-IN PROCEDURE (agenda item 11)**

As required under paragraph 18e of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules, a report of the Chief Executive gave details of decisions made which were exceptions to the call-in (requisition) procedure. The only such decision within the previous three months was the response to MOPAC's Public Access & Engagement Strategy Consultation. This was exempted from call-in as submission of the consultation had been delayed in order to incorporate all concerns raised by Councillors at an all-Member briefing on 28 September. The report was subsequently signed off by the Leader of the Council in order to meet the response deadline.

The report was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

That the report be noted.

# 74 APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR OF ADJUDICATION AND REVIEW COMMITTEE (agenda item 12)

Following the resignation of Councillor John Mylod from the Residents' Group, a vacancy had arisen for the position of Vice-Chairman of the Adjudication and Review Committee.

The following nomination for the position had been received:

Councillor Barbara Matthews, on behalf of the Residents' Group.

Councillor Matthews was elected without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

That Councillor Barbara Matthews be elected as the Vice-Chairman of the Adjudication and Review Committee.

# 75 ALLOCATION OF COMMITTEE PLACES (agenda item 13)

Following a recent change of Group by a Member, a report of the Chief Executive recommended some slight changes to the seat allocation on

Committees. This was to meet political balance requirements in line with the Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations. The revised seat allocation are set out in appendix 4 to these minutes.

The report was **AGREED** without division and it was **RESOLVED**:

That the seat allocation a set out in appendix 4 to these minutes be agreed.

# 76 MEMBERS' QUESTIONS (agenda item 14)

Fifteen questions were asked and replies given. The text of all questions submitted, together with their answers, is shown as appendix 5 to these minutes.

# 77 HARE LODGE DEMOLITION (AGENDA ITEM 15A)

# Motion on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group

Council regrets the Executive decision not to appeal the Inspector's decision to demolish Hare Lodge without first making public the external legal opinion on which the decision was reputedly based and now calls on the Executive to belatedly make this legal opinion public.

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

This Council notes the Executive decision taken following the consideration of specialist legal advice not to proceed with a judicial review application to the High Court in respect of the Inspector's decision to allow the appeal against the refusal by the Regulatory Services Committee of the application to demolish Hare Lodge and notes further that a synopsis of the advice has already been given.

Following debate, the amendment by the Conservative Group was **CARRIED** by 30 votes to 14 (see division 4) and **AGREED** as the substantive motion without division.

#### RESOLVED:

This Council notes the Executive decision taken following the consideration of specialist legal advice not to proceed with a judicial review application to the High Court in respect of the Inspector's decision to allow the appeal against the refusal by the Regulatory Services Committee of the application to demolish Hare Lodge and notes further that a synopsis of the advice has already been given.

# 78 CHAFFORD SPORTS COMPLEX (AGENDA ITEM 15B)

# Motion on behalf of the Independent Residents' Group

Council calls on the Executive to reach agreement with Harris Academy, Rainham, and SLM to keep Chafford Sports Complex open until a new leisure centre is built in the south of the borough.

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

This Council notes that its officers are currently examining with Harris Academy Rainham and SLM the legal and financial arrangements required to maintain for public use the Chafford Sports Complex following the acquisition of title to it by the School through a Land Adjudication Tribunal ruling.

This motion was withdrawn by the Independent Residents' Group and resubmitted to the March 2018 Council meeting.

# 79 LONDON PLAN HOUSING TARGET (AGENDA ITEM 15C)

# Motion on behalf of the Conservative and East Havering Residents' Groups

This Council notes with grave concern the proposals contained in the draft London Plan recently published by the Mayor of London which proposes a housing target of 1,875 new homes per annum for Havering over the next ten years, which represents a 60% increase on the figure included in the draft Havering Local Plan (1,170). This Council further considers the target as totally unacceptable, unachievable and unsustainable to the point of changing the unique and open character of our borough for the worse. This Council therefore agrees to recommend to the Executive to respond to the consultation, which ends on 1st March 2018, in the strongest possible terms.

# Amendment by the Independent Residents' Group

Council calls on the Executive to defend our high value green spaces and Conservation and Special Character Areas from the existing housing target within the Local Plan and further calls on the Executive to respond to the consultation on the new London Plan in the strongest terms to defend the Special Character of Havering from the new target proposed by the London Mayor which threatens to change our borough for the worse.

Following debate, the amendment by the Independent Residents' Group was **NOT CARRIED** by 32 votes to 18 (see division 5) and the motion on behalf of the Conservative and East Havering Residents' Groups was **AGREED** as the substantive motion without division.

#### **RESOLVED**:

This Council notes with grave concern the proposals contained in the draft London Plan recently published by the Mayor of London which proposes a housing target of 1,875 new homes per annum for Havering over the next ten years, which represents a 60% increase on the figure included in the draft Havering Local Plan (1,170). This Council further considers the target as totally unacceptable, unachievable and unsustainable to the point of changing the unique and open character of our borough for the worse. This Council therefore agrees to recommend to the Executive to respond to the consultation, which ends on 1st March 2018, in the strongest possible terms.

#### 80 USE OF PLASTICS (agenda item 15D)

#### Motion on behalf of the Residents' Group

This council recognises the serious problems caused by the large use of plastics and calls on the Executive to:

- a) Reduce the Council's own use of plastics
- b) Encourage businesses and residents to reduce their use and
- c) Provide clarity to residents on what plastics can be recycled

#### Amendment by the Independent Residents' Group

Replace the first sentence with the following sentence.

Council agrees the recycling regime promoted by climate change legislation has made waste deposal very expensive and led to an epidemic of flytipping resulting in our rivers and oceans being polluted by plastic. And further agrees the solution is the incineration of waste, reduced landfill taxes and promotion of alternatives to non-biodegradable plastic and calls on the Executive to consider the following measures to reduce the problem in a small way:-

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

This Council recognises the serious ecological problems resulting from the excessive and unnecessary use of plastic and also the need to reduce the volume of all household waste generally including recycling items as a result of increasing waste disposal costs. Further it notes that officers have been reviewing the steps which can be taken to address these issues and will be reporting to the Executive once this process has been completed.

This motion was withdrawn by the Residents' Group and resubmitted to the March 2018 Council meeting.

# 81 VOTING RECORD

The record of voting decisions is attached as appendix 6 to these minutes.

Mayor

# Appendix 1

# Full Council – Wednesday 24 January

# Madam Mayor

# I would like to refer to a number of matters and events since the last Council meeting

# The Christmas Events

- We've taken down the Christmas trees, packed away the decorations and Christmas already feels like a long time ago. But this year, once again, residents threw themselves into the festive feast of events put on by the Council.
- It all started with the big Christmas lights switch-on, which proved to be the most successful to date with thousands packing out the Market Square in Romford, to see some great local performers and an impressive firework display. This was followed by the Christmas Cracker event in Hornchurch, and many more switch-ons across the borough. The family fun didn't stop there. Residents also enjoyed a variety of Christmas events in Romford Market, including the Kingdom of Elves, and the Winter Wonderland Experience.
- I would like to hank our staff for their hard work in making this possible.

# HMD 2018

- This weekend we will mark Holocaust Memorial Day 2018. Residents will be able to join a special service in Romford on Sunday 28<sup>th</sup> January at 2pm at the Holocaust War Memorial in Coronation Gardens, in Main Road.
- This is always an extremely poignant event, as the borough pauses to remember the millions of victims of the Holocaust and Nazi persecution.
- The theme for 2018 is the 'power of words', highlighting how words can make a difference both for good and evil

# SPRING CLEAN

- The Council will be organising a borough spring clean in March. In the past these have been a great success and residents have supported our staff with their help to tidy up their streets and parks.
- We wish this year to focus in particular on regular hotspots for litter such as Romford and Hornchurch Town Centres.
- We'll be announcing more details in the coming weeks, but I hope everyone will be able to do their bit to help spruce up the borough as we head into the lighter evenings of the summer months.
- In all surveys residents tell us how much importance they place on the quality of the environment.

# Dagnam Park extension

- I was invited to pay a visit last week to Dagnam Park Nature Reserve in Harold Hill to see the work being undertaken by the Friends of Dagnam Park to unearth the foundations of The Manor or Dagnam House. This was a grand house that I remember visiting as a *very* young child prior to its demolition in the early 1950s.
- A band of volunteers are working on the site several times a week and I was pleased to hear that they are receiving assistance from our parks and local history colleagues to enhance that area of this wonderful park.
- The site was at one time the location of the home of Samuel Pepys Information as to the history can be found on the Friends of the park website.

# Three joint ventures

- Over the last few months alone, we have secured two Joint Venture partnerships that will transform parts of the borough.
- Last week, we agreed on our preferred development partner to regenerate those 12 council housing estates.
- This is one of the most ambitious mixed tenure and social housing programmes in London, and we will look forward to announcing our successful partner for this £1billion investment next month.
- In total, £3 billion of investment in Havering has been secured for these three schemes in under a year.
- These are three major pieces of work and I'd like to thank all those who have been involved.
- The schemes will provide much needed affordable housing for our residents and their children and low cost home ownership for young people wishing to get on the housing ladder and to remain living locally.

# London Plan interaction

- The Council has been progressing its Local Plan since 2015 and has been working with an adopted London Plan that sets a minimum housing target of 1,170 homes per annum
- A significant amount of work has gone into the preparation of the Local Plan and while we recognise that the new Mayor of London has published his draft London Plan, it is a draft and is still subject to consultation and an examination in public.
- All councils are under pressure from the government to get Local Plans in place as quickly as possible. We are therefore continuing to progress our Plan and will submit it to the Secretary of State in February. In line with

Counsel's advice we are required to be in general conformity with the current adopted London Plan and that is what we are aiming for. We have had a positive response to our Local Plan consultation from the GLA.

- In due course, we will need to review the Local Plan (as all authorities do) and at that point we will need to take into consideration the policies in any new London Plan.
- We will be objecting strongly to the draft London Plan housing target as it is as totally unacceptable, unachievable and unsustainable and is not based on robust evidence.

# Romford Ring Road feasibility study

 We have been allocated TfL funding for 2018/19 to look at improving access into Romford Town Centre. To support development as part of the housing zone in Romford, officers are looking at improving the west side of the Romford Ring Road and a feasibility study will start in the new financial year. In this study the Council will be mindful not only of the needs of pedestrians and cyclists but also those of the vehicular traffic using our local roads.

# Health devolution confirmed

- In November the Mayor of London and the Secretary of State for Health as well as health and care leaders across the capital, signed a landmark devolution deal to improve health and social care in London.
- The London deal was informed by 5 pilots across the capital including one in Havering, Barking and Dagenham, and Redbridge designed to better integrate health and social care services.
- The Council and local partner agencies will press on with our plans to improve services for local residents.
- To this end Havering Council and health commissioners from the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) will, from April 2018, begin to jointly plan and then commission selected services, pooling budgets where this makes sense. The Council and NHS providers, including hospital, community and GP services will work together as an alliance to provide better health and social care services in the most appropriate way for the community.
- The alliance aims to relocate resources from acute hospital services to services in the community.
- Aligning the way we work with our partners in health will allow us to deliver a local targeted service that benefits residents, improves the offer of care they receive and produces improved outcomes across the borough.
- Integrated locality teams across the borough; consisting of health and social care professionals will be central to this new model.

- Each team will support a population of around 80,000 residents, with primary care at its heart.
- The locality teams will tailor services to specific population areas in the borough, identifying people who are likely to develop serious health problems and offering early support and intervention.

# Police station action position

- Late last year, we said we would look to seek a Judicial Review against the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) and the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service, in respect of their decision to close police buildings.
- MOPAC and the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service have been asked to reconsider the closures and withdraw the decision to close the public access points across the borough as the consultation was unacceptable.
- We have since received a response and our legal team are reviewing it. A further announcement will be made as soon as possible.

# Meeting with minister/budget CT/business rate pooling

- Last week, I met with the new Local Government Minister Rishi Sunak M.P. with local M.P.s Andrew Rosindell and Julia Lopez. Andrew Blake-Herbert also attended, and we discussed the local government settlement and pressed the case again for fairer funding for Havering. The Fair Funding Review is currently under consultation, and a member briefing will be held to explain this.
- Earlier this month, we launched our budget consultation to understand residents' views on our savings proposals.
- We currently have a budget gap of £4.26m in 2018/19. The reduction in government grant funding, along with an increase demand for housing and significant increase in the number of adults and children who require council services are contributing factors to this.
- We are consulting on proposals for savings across the five- year period of 2018/19 to 2022/23, which will create total savings of around £11m and I encourage residents to have their say on Havering Council's website.
- Havering will be part of the London Business Rates Pilot Pool, which will give the Council 100% business rates retention; however, any estimated net

benefits will be shared. Taking part in this pool will allow London Councils to have greater fiscal devolution. The pilot scheme will begin in April 2018.

# Sapphire Ice and Leisure

- The Sapphire Ice and Leisure in Romford will be opening on Saturday 3 February, from 9.30am – 10pm, and we welcome residents and Councillors to come along.
- This is the borough's biggest leisure regeneration project and it has been long awaited. We will be joined at the opening by Dancing on Ice champion and former Xfactor contestant, Ray Quinn, and renowned athlete Colin Jackson, CBE.
- Guests will enjoy a day of free activities, such as ice skating sessions, family fun swims and exercise sessions including group cycling, boot camps and much more. There will also be a figure skating exhibition as well as face paint and balloon modelling for younger children.
- The open day will also see the Raiders return to the town to play their first Ice Hockey game there since April 2013.
- This has been a lengthy and difficult project involving great fortitude and determination of both officers and members and I pay tribute to all those involved in delivering the first swimming in Romford for 25 years since the then minority Labour Administration closed the last one. There have been strident doubting and critical voices throughout. Those saying it would never happen have been proved wrong yet again.

Thank you Madam Mayor.

This page is intentionally left blank

# Minute Item 70

Appendix 2

Council, 24 January 2018, Agenda Item 8, Question on report submitted by Residents' Group

Given concerns surrounding Carillion and other companies in the building and construction industry, does the Leader of the Council feel that it is appropriate for members to agree awarding Preferred Bidder status to a company unknown to them?

#### Answer by the Leader of the Council

Councillor Morgon has attended an all member briefing on the 12 Estates Joint Venture partner selection where he asked questions and was provided with information in response to those questions. At Cabinet last week, Cllr Morgon was again provided with the opportunity to ask questions regarding the selection of the JV partner. Indeed, he asked about the Carillion issue and was provided with a detailed response relating to the wide due diligence we have carried out at various stages of the tender process and will carry out during the consolidation period we are now in. We will carry out all the usual checks into our preferred bidder and we will, in addition, carry out further enquiries of the preferred bidder that go well beyond the normal checks required.

At this point I would add that the preferred bidder has already stated to us that in the light of the Carillion concerns, what additional checks do we want to carry out and they are being very open in relation to giving us all assurances we can gain.

We are therefore still in a live procurement process as we also need to finally agree the terms of the submission are properly built into the contract documentation. To prematurely release the name of the preferred bidder before we are certain that we are content to go to formal contract award could place the council at a severe commercial disadvantage were we not able to finalise the deal with the preferred bidder and had to re-open dialogue with one of the other organisations.

In addition, as this is a Joint Venture, as explained to Cllr Morgon at Cabinet last week, we will be bringing greater controls to this venture than Carillion would have required in its private sector capacity. The scrutiny and accountability on local authorities is much greater than for private sector companies. Whilst this JV will be at arms-length to the council, we will have significant additional controls than those seen in a purely contractual relationship.

Cabinet delegated the final decision to the deputy leader, and all checks will be carried out prior to that decision finally being taken to get to formal contract award. To release the name now, prior to final agreement with the preferred bidder, could result in a commercial disadvantage to us were we not to be able to agree final terms with that party.

Having however conferred with legal officers and whist due diligence is still going on, it has been agreed that the name of the preferred bidder may be made known in confidence to all members of the Cabinet and to the Lead Member. This shows the transparency of the situation we are in.

I hope that provides some reassurance to Cllr Morgon and other parties.

#### Appendix 3

Council, 24 January 2018, Agenda Item 9, Questions on report submitted by Residents' Group

Given that all council members are being asked to approve the Local Plan, would the Leader of the Council confirm the following;-

- 1. Why ALL members did not have sight of all the responses from the public consultation exercise.
- 2. Why ALL members have not seen officers views on all the responses and the Cabinet's consideration of these and
- 3. Why ALL members did not have the opportunity to hear and raise any issues with counsel on his advice about material and non-material issues and the submission of our Local Plan.

#### Answer by Councillor Damian White

Full Council agreed the Proposed Submission version Local Plan and its suite of supporting documents in July 2017. The decision tonight is not to agree the Local Plan ..... That has already happened. Members are being asked to agree that the Director of Neighbourhoods in consultation with me, make some changes to the previously agreed Proposed Submission Local Plan. The areas of change recommended are as set out in the report. These changes DO NOT alter the fundamental strategy and approach of the Proposed Submission Local Plan as agreed by this Council in July.

The Proposed Submission Local Plan presented to members in July was drafted after public consultation in spring 2015 in accordance with Statutory Requirements and included consultation with Members, residents and other interested parties. The report to Members in July included the responses to the Regulation 18 public consultation and key issues arising so that Members could see how those views had been taken into account and reflected in the drafting of the Proposed Submission Local Plan. The Proposed Submission Local Plan was agreed by Council Members on the basis of that information.

It was explained to all Members in July that the next step required was for that Proposed Submission Local Plan to be consulted on FOR THE PURPOSE of testing whether the plan was both sound and lawful as required by the planning regulations. This second consultation, under Regulation 19, is essentially a 'verification' process to check that there are no evidential gaps, or potential legal weaknesses, before the Proposed Submission Local Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for approval. This is a process which includes a public enquiry by a Planning Inspector and recommendations to the Secretary of State. The Regulation 19 consultation feedback was considered by senior officers and by Leading Barrister, who has been advising throughout the process, to identify any areas of the previously agreed Proposed Submission Local Plan, which, in their professional judgement, required alteration in order to ensure that it is sound and robust. Those matters were also discussed with me as Lead Member.

Officers advise that some minor changes be made – in the form of text and format and other 'non material' amendments, for which Full Council in July gave authority to the Director of Neighbourhoods, in consultation with myself, without the need to report them back to Full Council. The barrister has advised on those changes which should be regarded as 'non material' and which can be made under delegated authority. All Members can be assured by the report before them that anything of substance has been highlighted.

During the process of considering the feedback to the Regulation 19 consultation, it became apparent that some changes, which may not be regarded as falling within the category of 'non material' or 'minor', are needed in order to strengthen the Council's position as we move forward to the examination in public. The areas of proposed changes are explained in the report before members of the Council this evening. They include for example

- i) the inclusion of areas already used as Gypsy and Traveller sites as formal designated sites,
- ii) that further evidence be submitted to support various policies such as housing delivery and the green belt, and
- iii) alterations be made to policies, for example inclusion of support for use of the River Thames, in order that the Proposed Submission Local Plan and it supporting documents are consistent with the Statutory Framework and the requirements of the National Planning Policy framework so as to place the Council in the best position possible to have its Proposed Submission Local Plan approved.

These proposed changes DO NOT alter the fundamental strategy and approach of the Proposed Submission Havering Local Plan as agreed by this Council in July. The feedback did not give rise to the need for any other material changes to be made to the previously agreed Proposed Submission Havering Local Plan in order that it is sound. The feedback has therefore been considered and is advised upon in the report to Members. Members are asked to agree that the final detail and wording be delegated to the Director of Neighbourhoods, following consultation with me as Lead Member. The Proposed Submission Local Plan will be amended and published, and the consultation feedback from both Regulation 18 and 19 consultations together with the Council's responses will form part of the Council's Submission to the Secretary of State and be made publically available.

Members do not require the intricate detail of the Regulation 19 consultation responses to make the decision before them tonight, or the details of the officers' consideration. A summary of the areas of change needed in order to make the Proposed Submission Local Plan robust and sound, which flow from that consultation, has been provided in the Report to Members.

I have instructed officers to arrange an all Member information session ahead of the submission of the Proposed Submission Local Plan to the Secretary of State, the date of which will be confirmed.

# Council, 24 January 2018, Appendix 4: RECOMMENDED SEAT ALLOCATION

Having regard to the principles of political balance and of seat allocation referred to in Appendix 1, the following allocation of seats is recommended on the basis that, taking all factors into account, it shows a "reasonably practicable" allocation of seats and is therefore the default position.

		CONS	RESIDENTS	EHRG	UKIP
Governance	13	5	3	2	1
Licensing Regulatory Services Highways Advisory	11 11 11	6 5 4	2 2 2	1 2 2	1 1 1
Adjudication	10	4	2	2	1
Pensions	7	3	1	1	1
Audit	6	3	1	1	1
Rainham & Sth HX WP	6	2	1	1	1
O&S Board Children's Crime & Disorder Towns & Communities Environment Health Individuals	16 9 6 9 6 6 7	7 4 2 4 2 3 3	3 2 1 2 1 1 1	2 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 1 1 1 1 0 1
Total seats allocated	134	57	25	20	15

• Committee seats are allocated, and each Committee is balanced, as "reasonably practicably" as possible

This page is intentionally left blank



Appendix 5

# FULL COUNCIL, Wednesday 24 January 2018

# **MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

# Machines in Council Owned Car Parks

# 1) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Community Safety (Councillor</u> <u>Osman Dervish)</u>

# From Councillor Jody Ganly

Would the Cabinet Member confirm what a person should do if they arrive at a council owned car park and the machine(s) are "not in use"?

#### Answer

Information on what to do if a pay and display machine is not working is on the Council website or alternatively a driver can also ring to report a machine being out of order on 01708 432787.

If a machine is not working, then drivers are advised to use an alternative machine within the same location, or where possible, to use the Phone and Pay facility. There is an expectation that customers will use an alternative machine that is situated within the same location which is of a reasonable distance from the broken one. In most car parks, the alternative machine locations are highlighted by "Pay here" signs which are located above every payment facility.

In the event of a machine breakdown the Civil Enforcement team will apply a degree of reasonableness in their enforcement activity and will not enforce the immediate vicinity.

All machine faults and or breakdowns are centrally logged and therefore referred to in the event of a Penalty Charge Notice appeal being received.

I have been informed by the Assistant Director for Environment that less than 7% of the 223 machines across the borough currently have faults and the vast majority of faults raised in any given day are resolved on that same day.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member indicated that he was happy to consider any specific examples of residents whose parking appeal had failed with the Council saying that they should have paid the parking charge via the parking app.

#### Issue of Planning Decisions

# 2) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Phil Martin</u>

Does this Council accept that it has a statutory responsibility to endeavour to issue planning decisions 13 weekpatter the receipt of a planning application?

#### Answer

In terms of statutory responsibility, if the Council does not issue a decision on a planning application within 16 weeks (applications with Environmental Statements) 13 weeks (major applications) or 8 weeks (non-major applications), or a longer period agreed with the applicant, of submission of a valid application, then the applicant has a right to submit an appeal against the non-determination of the application. This is known as the statutory period for determination.

The Government has set designation criteria, which means that if Councils decide less than 60% of major development within 13 weeks or 70% of non-major applications then they are at risk of special measures. Havering has set itself a local target of 65% of major applications being decided within the target time, and current performance is at 85%, which considerably exceeds the target.

The Government and local targets recognise that it is not always possible to decide all applications in time, which can be for a variety of reasons including resolving issues arising during the application, negotiating complex planning obligations and waiting for further information from the applicant or statutory consultees.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member indicated he would investigate whether the clause re the level of affordable development on the new ice rink site could be applied to other delayed planning applications and provide a response on this to all Members.

# **Children in Care**

#### 3) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Children and Learning (Councillor Robert</u> <u>Benham)</u> From Councillor Michael Deon Burton

Freedom of Information replies from 351 councils show that 150 Vietnamese children rescued from traffickers and placed in council care have gone missing since 2015, almost 90 of whom have never been found. It is feared that they could have fallen back into the hands of slave masters. Most of those to have gone missing did so within just two days of being placed into care. Similar concerns about Albanian children have also been raised.

Minded of Baroness Butler-Sloss, chair of the all-party parliamentary group on human trafficking and modern slavery credible beliefs there are far more youngsters at risk. How many children have gone missing after being placed in Havering Council Care since January 1st 2015? Please provide an explanation of Havering Council's specific safeguards which have been put in place to stop this happening.

#### Answer

As at November 2017, there were five Vietnamese and five Albanian children in our care, none of whom were reported missing. 214 children in care went missing a total of 743 times in 2015. 163 children went missing 411 times in 2016. 148 children went missing 1,014 times in 2017.

Havering Council has a Coordinator who oversees all missing episodes and ensures correct procedures are followed. Missing children are tracked and an activity report provided to Senior Managers weekly.

An information pack is compiled about each child in care, including a recent photograph. If they go missing, this is shared with the provider, the council (if placed outside Havering) and the local Police Missing Persons Unit. The pack is updated each time they go missing.

Once they are located, a Return Home Interview is completed and used to review their plan. All children who go missing are reviewed by a multi-agency panel which agrees risk reduction measures. If a child goes missing for three days or more, or risks are higher, a joint plan is agreed with the Police.

The National Referral Mechanism is used to identify victims of trafficking or modern slavery and ensure they receive appropriate support.

<u>In response to a supplementary question,</u> the Cabinet Member added that the Council's Corporate Parenting role meant that it was responsible for every child in its care and that it took issues such as child sexual exploitation and modern slavery extremely seriously. As a point of information, the Chairman of the Corporate Parenting Panel added that these issues were on the agenda at every meeting of the Corporate Parenting Panel which was a cross-party group.

# Heating and Hot Water Service Charlbury Crescent Sheltered Accommodation

# 4) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Keith Darvill</u>

Why was there a long delay in repairing the defect in heating and hot water system at the Charlbury Crescent Sheltered Accommodation recently?

#### Answer

A problem was initially reported on 3 November 2017, our contractor attended and reinstated the heating system but reported concerns about the longevity of the repair. This was due to the main boiler controls not working in line with external temperature sensors, which needed to be replaced. Unfortunately, due to the age of the system, the replacement part was no longer available. An interim solution was

put in place allowing the heating running constantly. The contractor then undertook further work to enable the heating system to be turned on and off at set times during the day and night. This work was completed on 18 November 2017.

Further adjustments were made to the system during December 2017, which meant the need to turn the system on and off was no longer required as a control solution had been found. We have had no further reports concerning the heating system since this time and will continue to monitor and adjust the system to suit the needs of residents.

The boilers and associated operating controls have been identified for renewal in the 2018/19 capital investment programme.

<u>In response to a supplementary question,</u> the Cabinet Member confirmed that capital resources had been brought forward to replace the heating system. A review of the heating system in sheltered blocks had also been requested and support had been given to residents of Charlbury Crescent when the heating failed.

# Performance of Schools in Havering

5) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Children and Learning (Councillor Robert Benham</u> <u>From Councillor June Alexander</u>

Havering is now considered to have the 2nd worst Secondary Schools in London. Would the Cabinet Member confirm why has this been allowed to happen and what steps are being taken to remedy this situation?

#### Answer

This question refers to the London regional Ofsted annual report, published in December. It is worth noting that the Ofsted report recognised that Havering's Early Years and Primary sector had the highest rate of improvement across the region in relation to school inspections judged 'good' or 'outstanding'.

Whilst it was disappointing that the secondary sector did not perform so well on the same measure, there was a big improvement in comparison to 2016. The majority of secondary schools in Havering are Academy schools; as such the local authority is working closely with these schools and the Regional Schools Commissioner, to drive improvements.

A Secondary School Improvement Board was established in 2017, and is focussed on improving the quality of teaching, the effectiveness of Leadership, and the progress outcomes for all pupils. There are already signs of improvement as whilst the overall progress 8 measure is fractionally below the national average, this has improved significantly since 2016. The average attainment 8 score is above the national average, the percentage of pupils achieving a grade 5+ in English and maths is above the national average, and the percentage of pupils being entered for and passing the EBacc is significantly above the national average.

During the 2016/2017 Academic Year there were 26 inspections. This resulted in an additional 7 schools being judged as Good or Better. As such, in total 85% of providers were judged as Good or Better as at August 2017 up from 76% in August 2016.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member added that he felt that the Academy policy needed reviewing. The Cabinet Member was pro-choice for type of schools but this was a personal opinion.

#### 6) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Children and Learning (Councillor Robert Benham)</u> <u>From Councillor Ian de Wulverton</u>

What is Council doing to ensure that every parent is able to send their children for 30 hours free child care in Havering?

#### Answer

In preparation for the launch of the 30 hour offer last September, the local authority worked with local early years and childcare providers to ensure there was sufficient supply to meet demand. This included extensive consultation with parents and providers, regular briefings for local authority staff and providers, engagement with Early Implementer boroughs to share learning, and identifying premises for new place development or expansion of existing providers.

Workshops were held for providers to support new models of delivery, and to support them in undertaking their own demand assessment and business planning.

Local marketing of the 30 hour offer has been augmented with the national marketing campaign, and the application process was clearly explained to parents. Clear messages and guidance have been given to partners so they are aware of entitlements and when 30 hours will start, including through Children Centre teams, Health visitors, and other frontline staff.

Take up of places is monitored regularly and benchmarked against regional and national performance, which shows that over 1,000 parents across Havering have already accessed the 30 hours offer since its launch.

(No supplementary question asked).

# **Tri-Borough Policing Project**

#### 7) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Community Safety (Councillor</u> <u>Osman Dervish)</u> From Councillor Jeffery Tucker

Please provide an update regarding the Executive view of and response to the Triborough pilot and police station and safer neighbourhood bases closures

#### Answer

As reported to the Council in November, the tri-borough policing model has improved collaboration between the police and social care services. After an initial reduction in response times to high priority calls to the police, performance is now better than before the merger and is better in Havering than in the two other boroughs in the East Area. The average response time to emergency calls in Havering is now within target.

Following publication of MOPAC's new Public Access and Engagement Strategy in November, the Council remains alarmed at the planned closure of 11 of Havering's 13 police buildings, and especially about the impact on residents in the south of the borough, who will have to travel up to an hour on public transport to access Romford police station. Having just one publicly accessible police base to serve more than 250,000 residents is unsustainable, particularly considering Havering's demographics. We also remain strongly opposed to plans to replace police contact points with Community Contact Sessions. The Council has now notified MOPAC and the Metropolitan Police Service of its proposed legal challenge of these decisions and, following receipt of their responses and further advice from counsel, continues to consider the situation.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member stated that the cost of a judicial review were estimated to be £50,000 - £100,000 although these monies would be recovered if the Council's legal challenge was successful.

#### **Planning Applications**

#### 8) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Ray Morgon</u>

Would the Cabinet Member confirm how many planning applications received between September 2016 to September 2017 have not yet been determined, together with the date of the oldest application?

#### Answer

102 planning applications received between 1st September 2016 and 31st August 2017 have yet to be determined. The date of the oldest undetermined application received during this period is the 27th September 2016.

During the same period, the Council issued 2579 planning decisions.

Background Information for Cabinet Member only. Please note, this information will not be included in the public document.

In terms of statutory responsibility, if the Council does not issue a decision on a planning application within 16 weeks (applications with Environmental Statements), 13 weeks (major applications) or 8 weeks (non-major applications), or such longer period agreed with the applicant, of submission of a valid application, then the applicant has a right to submit an appeal against the non-determination of the application. This is known as the statutory period for determination.

The Government has set designation criteria which means that if Councils decide less than 60% of major development within 13 weeks or 70% of non-major applications within 8 weeks, then they are at risk of special measures.

The Government recognise that it is not always possible to decide all applications in time which can be for a variety of reasons including resolving issues arising during the application, negotiating complex planning obligations and waiting for further information from the applicant or statutory consultees.

Our current planning application performance (1st April 2017 to 31st December 2017)

Major Applications Decided in Target (including extensions of time): 86% Minor Applications Decided in Target (including extensions of time): 83% Other Applications Decided in Target (including extensions of time): 95%

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member indicated he was happy to provide a briefing to all Members on case management of planning applications and also to investigate any examples of planning application delays if Councillor Morgon could provide these.

# Improvements to Brook Street Roundabout

#### 9) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Community Safety, (Councillor</u> <u>Osman Dervish)</u> From Councillor Patricia Rumble

What step is the council taking together with other partner agencies to minimise the disruption to the residents in Woodstock Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue when the proposed improvements to Brook Street Roundabout get underway

# Answer

In early 2017 Highways England consulted on scheme options for improving capacity at Junction 28 of the M25. In August, Highways England announced their preferred option, which was to deliver a two-lane loop road connecting north bound traffic on the M25 with the A12 Eastbound in Havering. It should be noted that the A12 in Havering is part of the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN) and therefore not under the Council's control.

Highways England are currently undertaking environmental assessments to help inform the design work for the scheme. Formal statutory consultation on the proposal is not expected to take place until the end of 2018 at the earliest.

The Council made it clear in its formal response to the 2017 consultation that it would like to see further information on the traffic implications on the wider highway network, not only once the scheme is completed, but during the construction phase as well.

The Council also stressed that clear lines of communication to local stakeholders such as residents and businesses will be crucial during the construction phase.

Because Highways England is still working on the environmental assessments, there simply isn't an indication on the potential impacts on the Havering section of the A12 either during construction or in the completed state of the scheme. Officers will keep the matter under review as information becomes available and they will liaise with TfL officers who will also be interested in the impacts on the TLRN.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member confirmed that he was happy to involve residents of Woodstock Avenue in any discussions on the roundabout proposals.

#### **Terms & Conditions Review**

# 10) <u>To the Leader of the Council (Councillor Roger Ramsey)</u> <u>From Councillor David Durant</u>

Based on 4300 employees, as a result of the review of terms and conditions how many employees, as a percentage, got contractual wage rises and wage cuts, and overall by how much?

#### Answer

In the July 2017 Governance Committee report, the 4300 people figure related to the headcount number of employees (including schools) who were affected by the proposals at the start of the employee consultation (September 2016). However, because many people have more than one job with the Council (especially in schools) the number of jobs in the Council will always be considerably higher than the headcount number of employees. At the end of the employee consultation there were 5099 jobs being performed by employees across the corporate organisation and in schools. Table 3 of the report shows the impact of the proposals, at that stage, on the contractual pay of those 5099 different jobs as follows:

12.9% would see an increase in their contractual pay - the average increase was
 4.2%

• 57.0% would see no change in their contractual pay

- 30.1% would see a decrease in their contractual pay – the average decrease was 4.4%

In response to a supplementary question, the Leader of the Council stated that he deplored the use of circularised e-mails by Councillor Durant. The terms and conditions review had brought the Council's practice into line with local government practice elsewhere. The Unions had accepted the revised terms and conditions as had nearly all staff. Councillor Durant's figures had assumed all staff reached the top of their grade which was not the case. The new scheme also protected the Council from inequality schemes and saved the Council £800,000 per year.

# **Residents' Complaints Procedure**

#### 11) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Financial Management, Transformation and IT</u> (Councillor Clarence Barrett) From Councillor Stephanie Nunn

Would the Cabinet Member confirm why residents are still being told that complaints have to be made online only?

#### Answer

Against a background of significant budgetary constraints, the Council is committed to provide the most cost-effective means of communicating with our customers. While the preferred method of receiving complaints is via an online form, as this is designed to capture as much information as possible to enable officers to carry out a thorough investigation and provide a trackable response to customers, the Council does recognise the Havering demographic and is mindful of the concerns expressed by some members of the public regarding the transition to electronic reporting and have therefore kept open other channels of communication.

For example, complaints can still be made by letter to COMPLAINTS, Town Hall, Main Road, Romford. RM1 3BD or telephone via the Customer Contact Centre on 01708 434343. Alternatively, customers can go to the Public Advice and Service Centre or a library where staff will help them complete a paper copy of the online form.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member added that members of the public were not being told that complaints could only be made on-line but he was happy to look at any possible incidences of this if Councillor Nunn could provide these.

#### Land Development in the Borough

# 12) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Ian de Wulverton</u>

What is the council doing to enable developers to bring forward developments on derelict land and prevent land banking in the borough?

# Answer

The Council is shortly due to submit its Local Plan for government approval. The draft Local Plan generally prioritises previously developed land for new housing and this should encourage developers to come forward with appropriate development to meet the Council's housing needs including affordable housing.

In relation to land banking, there are currently no effective powers that the Council has to prevent this. Government consulted on this issue as part of the Housing White Paper and may come forward with proposals in the future. This matter has also been raised with local MPs who will discuss this with the Local Government Minister.

(No supplementary question).

# **Parking Enforcement Officers**

#### 13) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Community Safety (Councillor</u> <u>Osman Dervish)</u> From Councillor Barry Mugglestone

Would the Cabinet Member agree that following negative feedback from residents, businesses and reports in the local media, that he should revisit the training, prioritisation and deployment of permanent or temporary Parking Enforcement Officers?

#### Answer

The primary focus of our parking enforcement team is to act as a deterrent, keep roads free from congestion and safe for all highway users including motorists, pedestrians and public transport users.

The Council's Civil Enforcement Officers (CEOs) are suitably trained in Civil Enforcement legislation and are City & Guilds accredited. You will be pleased to hear that the deployment and training of its officers is continuously reviewed and monitored closely by the service. Resources are deployed dependent on need in areas of high non-compliance and to manage the parking in controlled parking zones in addition to responding to continual customer requests.

Robust enforcement is often required, especially around our schools at drop off and pick up times and also when there is illegal parking occurring which hinders residents and business alike.

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member indicated he would investigate the reasons for 64% of appeals being lost and report this back to Councillor Mugglestone.

#### **Reduction of Homelessness in the Borough**

#### 14) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Barbara Matthews</u>

Would the Cabinet Member confirm what actions will be taken to reduce the level of homelessness in the borough and will he provide his backing to schemes run by charities to help accommodate those who unfortunately find themselves homeless?

#### Answer

Nationally there has been a marked increase in the level of homelessness which has been rising since 2011. Havering is seeing the same trend.

I can confirm that the Council is taking effective action to respond to the current homelessness demand in the borough, and the new requirements that will be introduced through the implementation of the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017, which comes into effect from 1 April this year. Although the new Act will not 'fix' the major challenges the borough faces in preventing and tackling homelessness, we are pleased that it places prevention work on a firmer statutory footing. In response, the Council has increased funding for the Homeless Service and is proactively working with statutory and voluntary agencies to provide the necessary support to homeless households.

We have therefore increased the staffing levels with the Homeless Service so that the appropriate individual and personalised response can be provided to homeless households. We are also working with a wide range of partners from the statutory, private and third-party sectors in order to provide settled housing and diverse sources of advice and support, including Shelter and Homeless Link who are both National Charity Organisations for homeless people.

The capacity of the social rented sector to meet housing needs of Havering residents will continue to be tested in the years ahead as demand outweighs supply. However, we are committed to increasing the supply of affordable housing through the regeneration programme in order to meet the growing demand.

<u>In response to a supplementary question,</u> the Cabinet Member added that he met regularly with stakeholders to support homeless projects. The proposed development mentioned by Councillor Matthews had not yet applied for planning permission. The development would be subject to consultation with local residents and a decision taken in due course by the Regulatory Services Committee.

# New Dwellings in South End Road, Hornchurch

# 15) <u>To the Cabinet Member for Housing (Councillor Damian White)</u> <u>From Councillor Reg Whitney</u>

Would the Cabinet Member confirm the date of completion for all the new dwellings on the former Sheltered Housing site along South End Road, Hornchurch?

#### Answer

The site in question, known as Albyns Close, is a development comprising 19 units of which 10 were rented and 9 where shared ownership specifically for older people and was partly funded by GLA grant. The scheme was completed on site in December 2016. As a consequence of the different funding streams, the drawing up of the leases for the shared ownership properties had to be bespoke and there has been a delay in these being finalised. I have asked the Director of Neighbourhoods to look into this and to establish processes ensuring these types of situations do not happen again.

Of the 9 properties for shared ownership

4 are occupied 3 are sold and nearing occupation 2 are sold subject to contract

In response to a supplementary question, the Cabinet Member stated that he was proud of the Administration's record of delivering affordable housing and that the Council now had one of the most ambitious regeneration and building programmes in the UK. The Cabinet Member had met with officers to amend processes. Apologies had been given to any residents who had expressed interest in properties and had their contract frustrated. Any organisational failings by the Council had now been rectified.

# **VOTING RECORD**

Appendix 6

DIVISION NUMBER:	1	2	3	4	5
The Mayor [CIIr. Linda Van den Hende]	~	~	~	~	×
The Deputy Mayor [Cllr. Dilip Patel]	✓	✓	~	✓	×
CONSERVATIVE GROUP Cllr Roger Ramsey			<b></b>		×
Cllr Robert Benham	· ·	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	· ·	×
Cllr Ray Best	<b>·</b>	~	~	~	X
Cllr Wendy Brice-Thompson	✓	~	~	<b>~</b>	X
Cllr Joshua Chapman	<b>·</b>	✓	✓	✓	X
Cllr John Crowder	✓	~	~	✓	X
CIIr Philippa Crowder	✓	~	~	✓	X
Cllr Meg Davis	A	A	A	A	A
Cllr Osman Dervish		✓ ×	✓ ×	✓ ✓	×
CIIr Jason Frost	✓	~	~	✓	×
Cllr Steven Kelly	<b>·</b>	~	~	~	×
Cllr Robby Misir	<b>·</b>	~	~	~	×
Cllr John Mylod	✓	~	~	✓	×
Cllr Garry Pain	✓	~	~	✓	×
Cllr Viddy Persaud	<b>·</b>	~	~	<b>~</b>	X
Cllr Carol Smith		· ·	↓ ↓	· ·	X
Clir Carol Smith Clir Frederick Thompson	· ·				×
•					
Cllr Linda Trew			✓ ✓		×
Cllr Melvin Wallace	✓ ✓	✓	✓	✓ ✓	×
Cllr Roger Westwood	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	<b>·</b>	X
CIIr Damian White	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
CIIr Michael White	<b>~</b>	~	✓	~	×
RESIDENTS' GROUP					
CIIr Ray Morgon	✓	×	0	×	<b>~</b>
CIIr June Alexander	✓	×	0	×	✓
CIIr Nic Dodin	~	×	×	×	~
Cllr Jody Ganly	~	×	0	×	~
CIIr Barbara Matthews	~	×	0	×	✓
CIIr Barry Mugglestone	~	×	0	×	~
CIIr Stephanie Nunn	~	×	0	×	~
Cllr Reg Whitney	~	×	0	×	~
Cllr Julie Wilkes	A	A	A	A	A
CIIr John Wood	~	×	0	×	~
EAST HAVERING RESIDENTS' GROUP					
Cllr Clarence Barrett	~	~	~	~	×
Cllr Alex Donald	~	~	~	~	×
CIIr Brian Eagling	~	~	~	~	×
Cllr Gillian Ford	<b>~</b>	~	~	~	×
Cllr Linda Hawthorn	✓	~	~	~	×
Cllr Ron Ower	<b>·</b>	~	~	<b>~</b>	×
Cllr Darren Wise					X
UK INDEPENDENCE PARTY GROUP					
Cllr Lawrence Webb	0	0	0	0	<b>~</b>
Clir Lawrence Webb		0	0	0	
				-	
CIIr John Glanville CIIr David Johnson	0	0	0	0	0
Clir David Johnson Clir Phil Martin			0	0	<u> </u>
CIIr Patricia Rumble	0	0	0	0	· ·
INDEPENDENT LOCAL RESIDENTS' GROUP					
Cllr Jeffrey Tucker	×	×	×	×	<b>&gt;</b>
CIIr Michael Deon Burton	×	×	×	×	~
Cllr David Durant	×	×	×	×	<b>&gt;</b>
CIIr Keith Roberts	X	×	×	×	~
CIIr Graham Williamson	<b>X</b>	×	×	×	✓
LABOUR GROUP					
Cllr Keith Darvill	✓	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	0	X
Cllr Denis O'Flynn	✓	✓	✓	0	×
	_				
TOTALS					
$\checkmark = YES$	44	34	32	30	18
X = NO	5	14	6	14	32
O = ABSTAIN/NO VOTE	3	4	14	8	2
	0	0	0	0	0
ID =INTEREST DISCLOSED/NO VOTE		-			
ID =INTEREST DISCLOSED/NO VOTE A = ABSENT FROM MEETING	2 54	2 54	2 54	2 54	2 54



This page is intentionally left blank